IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

	AT ROANOKE, VA
IT IA JOHN BY:	NOV 1 6 2006 F. CORVENAN, CLERK DEPUTY OLERK
-	

JAMES A. SNIPES,)	
Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No. 7:06-ev-00418
v.)	DISMISSAL ORDER
DANVILLE CITY POLICE CHIEF,)	
et al.,)	By: Hon. James C. Turk
Defendant(s).)	Senior United States District Judge

Upon review of the record, the court concludes that this matter must be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute.

Plaintiff James A. Snipes, acting pro se, filed this action, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, while he was incarcerated. After his release from prison on September 13, 2006, the court advised Snipes that he could no longer pay the filing fee through installments from his inmate trust account. Shortly thereafter, defendants filed an answer and a motion to dismiss. On September 26, 2006, court issued a notice to Snipes, advising him of defendants' motion as required by Roseboro v. Garrison, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975) and warning him that judgment might be granted for the defendants if he did not respond to the motion by filing affidavits or other documents contradicting the defendants' evidence or otherwise explaining his claims. The notice also advised Snipes that if he did not file some appropriate response to the motion, the court would assume that he did not wish to pursue the case any further and dismiss the action for failure to prosecute. Snipes did not file any written response to the motion. On September 28, 2006, however, the court received a payment of \$350.00 to cover the filing fee in this case. As payment of the filing fee could be interpreted as a response and as an indication that snipes wished to pursue the case, the court did not dismiss the action at that

time. To ensure that Snipes had received notice of defendants' motion and that he did, in fact,

intend to pursue the litigation, however, the court issued a second Roseboro notice. This time the

court specifically advised Snipes that if he did not make a written response to defendants' motion

within fifteen (15) days, the court would dismiss the case for failure to prosecute. The allotted time

has elapsed, and the court has received no response from Snipes. The court interprets Snipes' lack

of response as indicating that he does not wish to pursue this action any further.

In accordance with the foregoing, it is hereby

ADJUDGED AND ORDERED

that this civil action is hereby **DISMISSED** without prejudice for failure to prosecute and is stricken

from the active docket of the court.

The Clerk is directed to send certified copies of this order to plaintiff and to counsel of record

for the defendants.

ENTER: This <u>/6</u> day of November, 2006.

Senior United States District Judge

2